
concerning the suitability of the equipment for military sevice. A formal test rsport of this project from the DWB was submitted to the Army Service Ferces, recommending that farther tests be conducted only on the Kenworth 380 , the other vehictes to be relegated to
wherever they could be put to good use. This, presumably, applied to the armour-cabbed Kenworth and Ward LaFrance models, the staffers clearly being more interested in recovery performance than armour protection for the crews. Certainly, a Ward LaFrance

Model B was assigaed for facility use at the Ordnance Desert Proving Ground at Camp Secky, abso in California. As to its ultimate fate; perhaps it is still out there somewhere, waiting to be discovered?

Also dispatched from Camp Young to Camp Seeley, albeit on a different
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 and was taken during the initial fects at the Devert Warfare Bloard. Camp Young. Calfomia. The farge number T'en front and sides problably
mission, was the soft-skin Kenworth 580. It went there, on September 25, 1943, for what were known as technical tests. Upon completion of these extended trials. the Kenworth was returned to its makers for a number of modifications to the chassis, in conformance with the test recommendations. Almost exactly three moaths later, with the chassis alterations carried out, the vehicle was shipped to the Ernest Holmes Company at Chattanooga in Teanessee for modifications to the wrecker equipment which they had sapplied. Holmes did their bit and in mid-February. 1944. the 580 went to Fort Knox, Keatecky, for further tests.

Exactly which modifications had been made af the Kenworth and Holmes factories is hard to establish now. Reinforcement of parts and improvemients to varioss details seem most likely. Comparing before and after pictures it is clear that the originally plain cab front was provided with a double grille for improved engine cooling - no doubt as a result of the desert trials - and that redesigned winch cable guides were mounted on the front bumper. Also, the Holmes people had removed the fifth wheel coupling and replaced it with a platform body. Instead of the fifth wheel, the vehicle was now provided with a so-called Mack coupler. This devies served as a heavy-

duty pintle hook with which a special 20. ton low-bed Ordmance trailer could be towed, in the same fashion as a trailed piece of heavy artillery. The trailer's bogie and loading ramps looked like those of the Fruchauf 40 ton tank transport semi-trailer but it was lighter
and had four instead of cight rear wheels.

With this trailer, the rig could salvage and transport a light tank like the M5A1. It is interesting to note that with a $31.460-\mathrm{fb}$ M5A1 tank aboard, the load on the Mack coupler was a staggering

## Technical Characteristics

Type: Truck, 10 -ton $6 \times 6$ Heavy Wrecker
Make and model: Kenworth 580 (Open Cab)
Manafacturer: Kenworth Motor Truek Corp, Seattle, Wathington, USA

ENGINE
Type: 6-cyl in-ine, gusoline (petrol), Iquid cocted
Make, modal: Mercules
Piston displacement: 855 cu in ( 14013 cc )
Bore and stroke: $5.5 \times 6$ in
( $190 \times 152 \mathrm{~mm}$ )
Pows output: $20 e .5$ bhp ( 150 kW ) at 2,150 rpm
Torque: 640 of ft (es mkgl at $1,000 \mathrm{rpm}$
Compression ratio: 5.49:7

## CLUTCH

Type: twin dry plate
Make, mode: W.C. Lipe
Diameter: 15 in [381 mm)

## MAIN GEARDOX

Type: 5 -apeed, manual
Moke, model Fuller 5A-920
Raslos: 1st 6.54:1, 2nd 3.27:1, 3rd 1.76:1, 4th 1.00:1, 5th 0.744:1, reverse 5.49:1

## TRANSFER CASE

Type: 2 -speed
Make, model: Waconsin (Timiken) T7739
Ratios: high 1.00:1, low 2.55:1

## FRONT AXLE

Typo: double - roduction, with Reepos CV joints
Moke, model: Wisconsin (Timken) F4700
Rntio: 9.321
Capacity: $22,000 \mathrm{ib}(9368 \mathrm{~kg})$

## REAR AXLES

Type: double-reduction
Make, model: Timben 78750 W and 73T5TW
Ratio: 9.32 .1
Copacity: $50,000 \mathrm{ib}(22700 \mathrm{~kg})$

## SUSPENSION

Type: somi-eliptio leaf springs, inverted at reat

## CHASSIS

Type: fadder-type frame

## Steering

Type: with hydraulic booster
Male, modet: Ross 780

## BRAKES

Type. main: air-actuated, TimkenWestinghouse, size $17.25 \times 5.5$ in $1438 \times 140 \mathrm{~mm}$; parking: mechanloal

## WHEELS AND TYRES

Wheel type: Budd diec, 10 -hole
Tyens: $14.00-24,18$-ply, duat rear
ELECTRICAL SYSTEM
Masce: Deico-Aemy
Voltage: 12 (2 6 -volt batteries)
Generator: 26 amp

## BODYWOAK

Types solt -skin, soft-top 4-seat cab; Holmes twin boom weecker
Other body atyles: armoured cab
WINCH
Cupecity $2 \times 40,000 \mathrm{ib}(18160 \mathrm{~kg})$

## DIMENSIONS

Wheelbase; 200 in ( 5080 mm )
Track, front: 81 in (20075 mm), rear: 00 in $(2032 \mathrm{~mm})$
Overall lereth: 358.5 in 19106 mm ), width: 110 in ( 2795 mm ), height: 126 $\ln (3200 \mathrm{~mm})$
Height teducible to: 122 in 3100 mm )
Ground doarances: 25 in ( $(\mathbf{E S 5} \mathrm{mm}$ ) (emidthipe)
Angles of approach and departure: $12^{\circ}$ and $45^{\circ}$

## CAPACITIES IUSI

Engine sump: 40 pe (18.8 it)
Goarbox: $26 \mathrm{pt} \mathrm{(122} 2 \mathrm{li})$
Transfer case: 6 pt (2.8 it )
Differentials: 16 pt (7.6 int) front, 40 pt (18.8 局) rear

Cooling yatem: 77 at (73 tit)
Fual tanks: 150 gal ( 668.5 lit )

## WEIGHTS

Keb: 47.880 bo (21740 kg)
Gross: $57,005 \mathrm{lb}(25290 \mathrm{~kg})$

## PERFORMANCE

Max. speed: 45 mph ( $72 \mathrm{~km} / \mathrm{h}$ )
Crusing range: 490 miles ( 640 km ) (on paved rouds)
Gradability, high: $2.5 \%$, low $65 \%$
Max. fording depth: 40 in (1018 rm)
Turning circle: $100 \mathrm{ft}(30 \mathrm{mi}$
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14,470 Do. How this affected the S80's steering is uncertain but in this configuration (grossing at $97,270 \mathrm{lb}$ ) the load on its front axle decreased from 18,140 to $11,490 \mathrm{lb}$.
The Armored Force Board at Fert Knox also carried out varioas other tests with this outfit but in spite of the large amount of time, effort and money spent fand possibly also due to charging requirements from the field forces) the vehicle was never approved for acceptance and thes quantity production. The pillot model was eventually retired to Aberdeen Proving Ground where in the late 1940s it was allocated inventory number MCV 293 ('Truck, 10 -ton, $6 \times 6$. Heavy Wrecker'). Again, its fate is unknown but not hard to gucss.

Meanwhile, in May 1943, Ordnance had recelved two experimental beavy wreckers from the Mack Manufacturing Company. These two vehicles. decignated NO 4 and NOS, were assembled using components from the current Mack NO.series $71 / 2+t 036 \times 6$ artillery prime mover. Apart of course from the wrecker equipment, they differed from the standard NO model chiefly in having a considerably fonger chassis and wheelbase and the deletion of the front winch, which changed the NO's familiar frontal appearance rather drastically. Still every inch a Mack, it featured the series' typical elevated front axle in which the custornary comstant velocity universal joints were dispensed with and the drive to the froat wheels was transferred through trains of spiral bevel gears, the intermediate members of ahich were mounted soncentric with the steering knuckle pins.

Although the Mack NO4 and NO5 earried the same slewing single-boom crave, they varied in the following respeets. The NO4 was iatended for the US Amy Air Corps and therefore had the fifth whecl coupling which was a feature of the Corps existing Type C-2 wreckers. It was intended for towing a long low-bed recowery semi-trailer on which chunks of aifcraft - in the event of crash recovery operations - could be carried. It had (as can be seen in the photos) a single winch, located just ahead of the forward rear axle. The NOS
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The Konuenth hray wrucker in retirement it Alverdese Prowing Greand. Mardard.

